

Appendix B

Summary of Consultation responses School Funding 2014/2015

There were 64 individual responses to the consultation process. 3 schools submitted multiple responses and have been counted as 1 for the analyses of results shown in the table below:

	Number of School responses	School in each phase	% Response per phase
Nursery	2	4	50%
Primary	47	95	49%
Secondary	9	33	27%
Special	1	4	25%
Other	2	2	
Total	61	138	44%
Maintained	51	93	55%
Academy	8	43	19%
Other	2	2	100%
Total	61	138	44%

Analysis of responses to each question

No	Question	Agree	Disagree	Agree %	Disagree %
6	The base level for the 14/15 AWPU rates should be set at the 13/14 AWPU level	36	9	59%	15%
9	Proposal to include Looked After Children as a factor in the funding formula	40	6	66%	10%
10	The proposal not to distribute funding based on prior attainment	42	9	69%	15%
11	The proposal to exclude English as an Additional Language (EAL) as a factor in the funding	29	14	48%	23%
12	Continuation of one lump sum of £120,000	47	9	77%	15%
13	Continuation of funding schools through a split site factor	20	6	33%	10%
14	Continuation of funding rates on an actual basis	51	2	84%	3%

	Question	Agree	Disagree	Agree %	Disagree %
15	proposal to continue with excluding a Private Finance Initiative (PFI) factor from the funding formula for 2014/15	31	2	51%	3%
16	Proposal to continue excluding pupil mobility as a factor from the funding formula for 2014/15	26	12	43%	20%
17	Proposal to continue funding the joint use agreement	17	0	28%	0%
18	A new rent factor for six CBC schools	21	1	34%	2%
19	Proposal not to include a new scarcity factor for 2014/15	33	6	54%	10%
20	Proposal to cap those schools that gain in order to fund the Minimum Funding Guarantee	47	5	77%	8%
21	Proposal to de-delegate Facilities Time	21	5	34%	8%
22	Proposal to de-delegate School Contingency	24	7	39%	11%
23	Proposal to retain centrally £1,000,000 for the purpose of Growth Fund	38	7	62%	11%
24	Proposal not to retain central funding for the purpose of Falling Rolls	25	12	41%	20%